

Dorney Traffic Working Group (DTWG)

www.dorneyvillagetraffic.org.uk

Response to Bucks Council's - Dorney Area Parking Review 2021

DTWG suggestion to Dorney Parish Council re Transport for Bucks' Dorney Area Parking Review 12MAY21

Consultation Process

Twelve questions to be answered before it proceeds, please.

1. Who has requested this Review?
 - a. Has a Parking Scheme Request been received from anybody?
2. What was the rationale for such a scheme from the requestor?
3. What is the Parish Council's role in this Review?
4. What are our County Councillor's roles in this Review?
5. What is the Beeches Community Board's role in this Review?
6. What is the proposed Process?
 - a. First Informal Consultation?
 - b. Second Informal Consultation?
 - c. Formal Consultation (Legal Advertisement)?
 - d. Making the Legal Traffic Order?
7. What is the proposed Timetable?
8. What is the detailed rationale, for each of the 13 roads in Dorney Parish, behind the proposal:
 - a. No Stopping at Any Time on Verge or Footway?
 - b. No stopping on Main Carriageway?
 - c. No Waiting at Any Time?
 - d. Permit Parking Area?
9. What percentage of the properties in each of these roads is required to accept/reject the proposal? For instance, in Surrey, it is 70%.
10. Why has such a complex, clunky, map-based application been adopted to enable respondents to comment for or against?
 - a. The objective must be to secure lots of engagement – not just a few tech wizards.
 - b. Is it possible to simply send an email? If so, where is this stated?
11. What budget for the Dorney Area Parking Review changes has been allocated by the Beeches Community Board?
12. There appears to have been a very small number of respondents for the Amersham Parking Review 2020 who were not enthusiastic to the TfB proposal. Are these considered to be representative?:
 - a. From 27 roads analysed (out of a total of 48)
 - i. 1400 properties
 - ii. 475 households responded (34%)
 - iii. 247 objected to the TfB proposal (52%)